International Journal of Research inSocial Science

Vol. 12 Issue 03, March 2022,

ISSN: 2249-2496 Impact Factor: 7.081

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gate as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Extend of Indebtedness Among the Cultivating and Landless labourers families in Agra District.

Pushpendra Singh, Deptt. of Economics R.B.S. College

Agra.

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted in Agra district, it covered 150 labourer i.e. 75 landless and 75 cultivating families. The study show that landless labourers farmers were indebted more as compared to cultivating labourers families, The schedule caste family were having more debtor compare to other caste in least categories labourers.

Indebtedness is one of the major social evil. The condition of agricultural labourers in particular is quite worse due to under employment, poor assets, seasonal jobs and low wages resulting in lower earning and hand to mouth living. Their major income is insufficient to sustain themselves and meet various social obligations on occasion like marriage, birth and death. Ultimately they are forced to wear debt to meet these obligations. The agricultural labour borrows year after year due to which he is not in a position to pay back reasons being the larger, lean, or low income resulting not to pay off his past debt. Therefore, with the passing of time he gets deeper into debt.

The Royal Commission on Agriculture remarked, "The Indian peasant is born in debt, lives in debt, die in debt and bequeaths debt." It is true in matter of agricultural labourers they take birth a debtor, lives a debates and die a debtor. Infact the agricultural laboures are so accustomed to take it over from their fathers and to pass it on their sons, that they accept indebtedness as a settled fact and a natural sale sate of life.

Although there has been the major break through in farm technology which has probably improved employment situation and financial position agricultural labourers, but their debt position has not improved substantially. An attempt has been in this chapter to analyze the indebtedness of agricultural labour households.

Table -1 Extent of Indebtedness:

Table-1 gives the number of sample labour households under debt and proposition of households reporting debt.

Table -1: Number of Agricultural labour households reporting debt. (On $30^{th}\ June,\,2017)$

Category of labourers	Total number of sample households	Total number of indebted households	Percentage of households under debt
Cultivating labourers	75	51	68.00
Landless labourers	75	56	74.66
Overall	150	107	71.33

The above Table no -1 shows that about 71 percent of sample agricultural labour householdswere found to be in debt during 2006-2007.

The extent of indebtedness was more among the landless labourers (74.66 percent)than in case of cultivating (68.0 percent).

Level of Debt Per Household:

The debt position per household and per indebted household for agricultural labourhouseholds is given in Table -2.

Table -2 Average debt (loan outstanding) per agricultural labour household in differentcategories of labourers.

(On) 30th June, 2007)

Category	Total number of families	Number of indebted families	Total debt (Rs.)	Average debt per household (Rs.)	Average debt per indebted household(Rs.)
Cultivating labourers	75	51	362670	4835.60	7111.18
Landless labourers	75	56	401588	5354.51	7171.21
Overall	150	107	764258	5095.05	7142.60

The above Table -2 shows that the overall debt (outstanding) per family came to about Rs. 5095; it being about Rs. 4836 in case of cultivating labour and about Rs. 5355 in case of landless labourers. The table further indicates that the overall debt per indebted household came to about Rs. 7143; it being about Rs. 7111 is case of cultivating labourers and about Rs. 7171 in case of landless labourers.

Caste – wise Break-up of Households:

The following Table -3 shows the caste- wise break-up of sample households.

Table-3 Extent of debt per household in different castes and different categories oflabourers

(as on 30th June, 2007).

			(as on 30 th June, 2007).			
Category	No. of sample families	No. of indebted families	Total debt (Rs.)	Debt per family (Rs.)	Debt per indebted family (Rs.)	
Cultivating la	bourers	•				
General	(18)	9	69995	3889.61	7777.22	
Caste	(100)	(50.00)				
Backward	27	18	104377	3865.81	5798.72	
Caste	(100)	(66.67)				
Scheduled	30	24	188298	6276.60	7845.75	
caste	(100)	(80.00)				
Total	75	51	362670	4835.60	7111.18	
	(100)	(68.00)				
Landless labo	urers		-L		<u> </u>	
General	15	9	69394	4626.27	7710.44	
Caste	(100)	(60.00)				
Backward	31	21	163728	5281.55	7796.57	
Caste	(100)	(67.74)				
Scheduled	29	24	168466	5809.17	6479.46	
caste	(100)	(89.66)				
Total	75	56	401588	5354.51	7171.21	
	(100)	(74.67)				
Overall		- 1				
General	(33)	18	139389	5354.51	7743.83	
Caste	(100)	(54.55)				
Backward	58	39	268105	4622.50	6874.49	
Caste	(100)	(67.24)				
Scheduled	59	50	356764	6046.85	7135.28+	
caste	(100)	(84.75)				
Total	150	107(71.33)	764258	5095.05	7142.60	

Note: Figures in parentheses are perecentages of indebted households to total households.

It may be noted from table -3 that the overall 54.55 percent General castes, 67.24 per cent backward castes and 84.75 per cent scheduled castes families were under debt. It is further observed from the table that 50 percent General caste, 66.67 percent backward caste, and 80 percent scheduled caste families and under debt in case of cultivating labourers and 60% General caste families 67.74% backward caste and 89.66% scheduled caste families are under debt in case of landless labourers among the sample families. Thus the majority of indebted agricultural labourers families belong of scheduled caste family.

It is thus clear that extent of families under debt was the highest in scheduled caste families as compared to other caste groups both in case of cultivating and landless labourers.

REFERENCES

- 1. Narend Pani1987 Indebtedness and the theory of Agra in reform social scientist oct.
- Reserve Bank of india Bulletin Feb 2000. All india debt and Investment survey 1991-92 – Incidence of indebtedness of house holds Vol - IV No. 2P-83-103.
- 3. Sadhu A.N. and singh, A: Fundamentals of Agricultural Economics, HimalayaPublications House, New Delhi.
- 4. Mishra, S.K.; Puri, V.K. (2014): Indian Economy, Himalaya Publishing House NewDelhi 29th revised Edition pp 337-345.
- 5. Government of India Agricultural statistics at a Glance 2015.
- 6. Economic survey 2016-2017.